

Meeting Minutes Work Session North Hampton Planning Board Tuesday, October 15, 2013 at 6:30pm Town Hall, 233 Atlantic Avenue

	e minutes were prepared as a reasonable summary of the essential content of this meeting, not as a cription.
Men	ibers present: Shep Kroner, Chair; Joseph Arena, Mike Hornsby, Dan Derby and Phil Wilson, Select
Boar	d Representative.
Men	ibers absent: Laurel Pohl and Tim Harned
Alte	nates present: None
Othe	rs present: Jennifer Rowden, RPC Circuit Rider, and Wendy Chase, Recording Secretary
	Kroner convened the meeting at 6:30pm and noted for the record that he was Chairing the Work
Sessi	on in the absence of Ms. Pohl, and that there was a quorum of the Board.
I. O	ld Business
1.	Demolition Delay Ordinance – Dan Derby draft preamble for Board review and discussion.
The	Board discussed the "statement of purpose" drafted by Mr. Derby for the proposed Demolition
	y Zoning Ordinance. Members of the Heritage Commission were present, Ms. Cynthia Swank and Donna Etela.
IVIIS.	
Dr. A	rena moved and Mr. Wilson seconded the motion to accept the "statement of purpose" and
inclu	de it in the proposed Demolition Delay Ordinance as written.
N.4 I	
	Kroner had a discussion with the Town Administrator, Paul Apple, on whether the proposed nance should be a Zoning Ordinance, or a Town Ordinance. Mr. Apple said that is should be a
	ng Ordinance.
Mr. ۱	Nilson withdrew his second and Dr. Arena withdrew his motion.
	Nilson said it made sense that it be considered as a Zoning Ordinance because the enforcement of a
	ng Ordinance is within the realm of the Code Enforcement Officer's duties and the demolition of a
	ing requires a demolition permit from the Building Inspector/Code Enforcement Officer; the
dem	plition permit application would be the catalyst to the demolition delay process.

45	Mr. Derby referred to the first sentence of the purpose statement, "Clarify and formalize landowner
46	demolition rights as well as support identification & documentation of North Hampton's historically
47	significant structures." He said that the preamble may help the Ordinance get through the voting
48	process without being perceived as an encroachment on property rights. He said that both concepts in
49	the first sentence need to be involved, but the Board may want to change it so that the "documentation"
50	of historic structures" appears at the beginning of the sentence.
51	or historie structures appears at the beginning of the sentence.
	The Decided issues of different ways to structure the surgeous discussion and so ideal to be use it as
52	The Board discussed different ways to structure the proposed paragraph and decided to leave it as
53	written.
54	
55	Dr. Arena moved and Mr. Hornsby seconded the motion to accept the "statement of purpose" as
56	written by Mr. Derby.
57	The vote was unanimous in favor of the motion (5-0).
58	
59	Mrs. Etela said that she would like to keep the first proposed sentence under the "Purpose" section.
60	She said that it doesn't add, or take away from the statement the Board just voted on.
61	one bara that it abesit t daal of take away nom the bratement the board just follow on
62	Mr. Kroner said that the Heritage Commission wants the townspeople to know that the ordinance in not
63	just about demolition, but about preserving things of historic value.
64	
65	Dr. Arena commented that the first sentence is addressed in the "purpose statement" the Board just
66	voted in and there is no need to keep the first original sentence as Mrs. Etela suggested; it would be
67	redundant.
68	
69	Mr. Kroner reminded everyone that the proposed ordinance can be changed at any one of the Public
70	Hearings dealing with it. Mr. Wilson commented that it could even be changed at the Deliberative
71	Session. Mr. Kroner also reminded everyone that there is always a summary written for each Warrant
72	Article that explains its purpose to the townspeople.
73	
74	Ms. Rowden went over the proposed changes she made:
75	• She color-coded the different dates involved within the document to make it easier to follow.
76	She strongly suggests adding a definition for "building".
77	 Under, Section C. 1. Criteria – the last word should be or instead of and.
78	 Clarified that the "30 calendar days" is always intended from the original filing date of the
79	demolition permit.
80	 Under Section D.4., the word "structure" should be replaced with "or part of a building",
81	because "structure" can mean anything, i.e. septic system.
82	
83	Mr. Kroner said that there could be structures that are not buildings, and gave the example of the bill
84	board on Atlantic Ave at Runnymede Farm of "Dancer's Image"; that has historic value.
85	board on Alantie Ale de Rainiyine de Farin en Baneer o Intage y that has historie valuer
86	Ms. Rowden mentioned that "billboards" is included in the definition of "structure" in the Ordinances.
	ms. Nowden mentioned that billboards is included in the demittion of structure in the ordinances.
87 00	Mr. Wilcon oniped that he was satisfied with the original definition "Duilding is defined as in the
88	Mr. Wilson opined that he was satisfied with the original definition, "Building is defined as in the
89	International Building Code and the International Residential Code, any structure used or intended for
90	supporting or sheltering any use or occupancy".
91	

92

93 to the Zoning Ordinance under Section III. 94 95 Ms. Rowden said that the entire Ordinance would need to be reviewed to make sure that anywhere the 96 word "building" or "structure" appeared the new definition would not cause that section problems. 97 98 Mr. Wilson commented that the problem with a definition is that it involves a list, and there will always 99 be something that is not added to that list creating a "loop hole". He said that if there is going to be a 100 definition of "building" and examples of it are listed, the list should be preceded by an idiom that states, 101 "Including, but not limited to". 102 103 Dr. Arena commented that the more the Board discussed it, the more complex it was beginning to get; 104 he did not think the original definition needed to be changed. 105 106 Mr. Kroner suggested they strike out "building" under B. – Definitions. The Board agreed. 107 108 Mrs. Etela said that she has been using the proposed ordinance as a guide with an individual in town and 109 it is working well. 110 111 The Board decided to review the ordinance on their own and discuss it at the November 5, 2013 112 meeting. Mr. Kroner said that he would like to have a document ready at that meeting to vote on to 113 take it to its first Public Hearing. 114 115 Mr. Wilson moved and Dr. Arena seconded the motion to continue further review of the proposed 116 Demolition Delay Ordinance at the November 5, 2013 meeting. 117 The vote was unanimous in favor of the motion (5-0). 118 119 **II. New Business** 120 121 Committee updates: 122 123 Long Range Planning – Ms. Rowden is working on the Future Land Use Chapter of the Master Plan and 124 asked if the Plan NH Charrette report was received by the Town yet. 125 126 Mr. Wilson said that the Town recently received the results from the Charrette but the Select Board has 127 recently decided to have the Architect proceed with a design of a public safety building on the 128 "homestead" property; new library attached to the stone building; raze the fire department building; 129 renovate the police department building to serve as town administrative offices, and to raze the existing 130 library building. 131 132 Ms. Rowden said that she will not use the Charrette as a reference in the updated Chapter when 133 discussing municipal and school parts of town for future land use. 134 135 Mr. Wilson said that they didn't abandon the Charrette entirely; the process was helpful; the report 136 came in too late. 137 138 Ms. Chase will forward a copy of the report to Ms. Rowden.

Mr. Kroner asked if they added a definition of "Building" in the Ordinance would they also have to add it

Disclaimer – these minutes are prepared by the Recording Secretary within five (5) business days as required by NH RSA 91A:2,II. They will not be finalized until approved by majority vote of the Planning Board.

139

- 140 <u>CIP</u> Ms. Cynthia Swank spoke from the audience and said that the CIP Committee will meet on Friday,
- 141 October 18, 2013 at 8:15 a.m. in the Town Administrative Office Conference room. Laurel Pohl is the
- 142 Planning Board Representative, but indicated she may not be able to make the morning meetings. Ms.
- 143 Chase will inform Ms. Pohl of the next meeting. Ms. Swank said that the Committee's goal is have the
- 144 CIP done by the end of the year. She thanked Mr. Wilson for his work on the CIP Committee the last
- 145 couple of years and Mr. Wilson thanked her and the rest of the Committee members for their work too.146
- 147 <u>ARC</u> Mr. Kroner mentioned that there was interest from an Applicant to go before the ARC to review
- his application prior to the November 5th meeting. There is a window of time between submittal of an
 application and the actual meeting date giving the ARC a small window of time to meet with the
 applicant to make suggestions that sometimes require publication. Discussion ensued on the difficulties
- 151 of getting the ARC together to meet prior to the regular meeting. It was suggested that a monthly
- 152 scheduled ARC meeting would be established and added to the Rules of Procedure. The Board will
- 153 discuss it further at the November 19th Work Session.
- 154
- <u>Rules and Regulations/Procedures</u> The Board will discuss adding a scheduled monthly meeting of the
 ARC at the November 19th Work Session. Any changes to the Rules and Regulations require a properly
 posted public hearing.
- 157 158
- 159 Economic Development Committee – Mr. Wilson said that the Committee met with the Unitil 160 Representatives and discussed economic development conditions in the seacoast region. They also met 161 with Susan Conway, who did a lot of the development of the Stratham Industrial Park at the North 162 Hampton town line and discussed expanding the Industrial Park and rezoning a small triangular portion 163 of land in North Hampton west of the Town Forest. They thought it would be possible to develop the 164 "rezoned" land to industrial use. They are going to look at the land carefully to see if it is feasible, and if 165 it is, the Committee may be coming before the Planning Board for discussions on rezoning that area. Mr. 166 Wilson said that if it came to that point, the Planning Board should probably hold a public hearing to get 167 feedback from the townspeople, especially those who abut the property, to determine whether or not 168 to move forward. The Committee agreed that the type of proposed use would increase the tax base and 169 require fewer town services; it also has great access to Routes 101 and 95, and the Industrial Park is an 170 already established use.
- 171
- Mr. Wilson said that the Committee discussed extending the Natural Gas line from the intersection of
 Route 1 and Atlantic Ave. up Atlantic Ave to the School, which would make it possible for the Town
 buildings and any residents in that area who wished to do so, tie into the line. Mr. Schoenberger, CEO of
 Unitil sits on the Committee and has offered to share their resources. The Committee asked them about
 the feasibility of extending the natural gas line and they came back and said that the construction costs
- to extend the line to the school would take approximately 3 ½ years to "pay back" through energysavings.
- 178 179

180 Mr. Derby moved and Dr. Arena seconded the motion to approve the RPC scope of work for North

- 181 Hampton Development/Redevelopment Analysis, and the funding for it.
- 182 The vote was unanimous in favor of the motion (5-0).
- 183
- 184 Large Assembly Ordinance Committee Mr. Wilson said that the Committee had only so many
- volunteers; they appointed John Bottomley, Richard Luff, Ross Peterson and Guy Marshall as an

Disclaimer – these minutes are prepared by the Recording Secretary within five (5) business days as required by NH RSA 91A:2,II. They will not be finalized until approved by majority vote of the Planning Board.

	October 15, 2013 Page 5 of 5
186 187	Alternate member. He said they will have a full complement of the Committee at their meeting on Friday, October 18, 2013.
188 189 190 191 192	Ms. Monaghan was not present but sent an LAO Committee report by email. She stated that the Committee has identified 17 items in the existing ordinance that need discussion, clarification, rewriting or inclusion. Those items will be on the Committee's Agenda on October 18 th , as well as, selecting a Chairman.
193	III. Other Business
194	
195 196	1. ¹ Items laid on the table
197 198	a. Master Plan update – Addressed earlier in the meeting.
199 200 201	b. <u>Junk Yard update</u> – It was a general consensus of the Board to remove "junk yards" from the "open items" on the Agenda. They are being dealt with by the Code Enforcement Officer and progress is being made.
201 202	progress is being made.
203	2. Minutes
204	a. <u>September 17, 2013</u> – Mr. Wilson moved and Dr. Arena seconded the motion to approve the
205	September 17, 2013 Work Session minutes as written.
206	The vote was unanimous in favor of the motion (5-0).
207	b. <u>October 1, 2013</u> – Dr. Arena moved and Mr. Wilson seconded the motion to approve the October
208	1, 2013 meeting minutes as written.
209	The vote was unanimous in favor of the motion (5-0).
210	Ms. Chase informed the Board that Mr. Kokernak phoned and said although the Planning Board
211	approved the extension for his Conditional Use Permit until 2014, he would still like to come to a Work
212 213	Session in a couple of months to update the Board on the Distributive Antenna System project.
213	The Board welcomes an update from Mr. Kokernak.
215	The Board welcomes an aparter form with Rokemak.
216	Ms. Rowden passed out information to the Board members on upcoming meetings and events. She said
217 218	the RPC's "kick off" meeting is on the sea level rise.
218 219 220	The meeting adjourned at 8:00pm without objection.
220	Respectfully submitted,
222	
223	Wendy V. Chase
224	Recording Secretary
225	

Approved November 19, 2013 226

> Disclaimer - these minutes are prepared by the Recording Secretary within five (5) business days as required by NH RSA 91A:2,II. They will not be finalized until approved by majority vote of the Planning Board.